### Innovations and Expertise in Labeling Comprehension and Human Factors Research Studies

July 22, 2015



## **BioTrak Research Services**

Easy to understand medical information and clear product labeling is critical to the safe use of certain drugs, biologics and medical devices.

- BioTrak offers comprehensive studies to determine the effectiveness of medical product labels, directions, risks, and warnings. These studies evaluate user understanding, user acceptance, performance and human factors with:
  - Patient instructional aids (ex. IFUs)
  - Patient educational information (medication guides, package inserts)
  - Self-administration, preparation and/or product user tasks
  - Educational information and risk/benefit communications for healthcare professionals
  - Instructional information for healthcare professionals
- Often, these studies are performed with patients and healthcare providers in private observational research settings.



# **BioTrak Overview**

- Founded in 1999 by leadership from the pharmaceutical industry, BioTrak has established a legacy of commercial support and new product planning consulting services to the pharmaceutical and medical device industry.
- Our team and comprises a team of researchers, pharmacists, regulatory, biostatistical experts, and support staff.
- Global pharmaceutical and development stage biotech companies have come to trust BioTrak's knowledge of regulatory requirements and FDA expectations, which have resulted in numerous successful FDA approvals.
  - Over 400 research studies completed interview projects and web-based survey studies with patients, health care providers, and pharmacists
- Complete Quality program
  - SOPs and policies covering Quality and Good Clinical Practice (GCP)
  - Good Documentation Practice (GDP)
  - Ethics, privacy, security, and data server safeguards



### Multimodal Recruitment Capabilities





# Examples from Labeling Effectiveness and Usability Research Studies



# Labeling Effectiveness Testing

- Rationale & Benefits
  - Improve product safety, efficacy, and usability
  - Mitigate risks from inappropriate drug or product use
  - Reduce regulatory jeopardy
  - Improve approval timeframe



#### Labeling Effectiveness Testing BioTrak Case Example - 1

- Studies of labeling comprehension, compliance and product usability have revealed surprisingly poor initial labeling performance by patients.
- Figure 1 below is an example of a caregiver study involving manipulations with a novel drug delivery device. One device represented the currently marketed product; the other four devices tested were replacement prototypes. The study revealed labeling as a major source of end user confusion.
- Figure 1. Caregivers Ability Properly Perform a Drug-Device Delivery without Error (n=48)





#### Labeling Effectiveness Testing BioTrak Case Example - 2

- The process of labeling pretesting can yield critical insights into improving successful product use and reducing the potential for medication risk.
- Figure 2 is a pharmacist study. The first pilot with the labeling (Study 1) demonstrated a 42% rate of dispensing error. Following label revisions and beta testing, a second study (Study 2) was performed with fifty retail pharmacists to measure effect of the labeling changes. The comparative results for study 1 and 2 are shown in Figure 2. The error rate from study 1 to study 2 declined from 42% to 8%.
- Figure 2. Labeling Comprehension Study with Pharmacists: Results Before and After Label Revisions





#### Labeling Effectiveness Testing BioTrak Case Example - 3

- Often more than one pilot study is needed to optimize comprehension of patient labeling.
- Figure 3 provides the comparative results for three sequential studies on patients' ability to properly set a dose, self-administer a medication, and recognize an empty delivery device. Labeling revisions occurred between study 1 and 2, and study 2 and 3. The success rates for each study are given in Figure 3. A dramatic improvement was observed from study 1 to study 3 with overall error reduced in half or more for each key measure, including a 95% success rate with dose administration in the last study.
- Figure 3. Labeling Comprehension Study with Patients: Results Before and After Two Label Revisions





### Labeling Comprehension and Human Factors Research Consulting Experience



#### Observational Research and Labeling Comprehension Recent Study Experience

Observational Research and Labeling Comprehension Study Experience				
Disease Area	Sample	Product	Type of Research Study	Methodology
Allergy	Patients and Parent Caregivers	Injectable Drug/Device combination	Observational study pre-market assessment of usability and attitudes towards a new drug delivery device	One-on-one interviews
Asthma	Patients and Parent Caregivers	Inhaled Drug/Device combination	<b>Observational study and pre-market</b> <b>assessment</b> on probable use patterns and attitudes towards new inhaler technology	Web-based Survey
Dermatology	Patients	Injectable Aesthetic	Observational study and pre-testing of a patient package insert for readability and comprehension	One-on-one interviews
Diabetes	Patients	Injectable Drug/Device combination	Observational periapproval study on product usability and comprehension of patient package insert	One-on-one interviews
Epilepsy	Patients and Parent Caregivers	Rectally administered Drug/Device combination	Observational periapproval study on product usability and comprehension of patient package insert	One-on-one interviews
	Pharmacists	Rectally administered Drug/Device combination	Observational periapproval study on dose preparation and understanding of instructional materials	One-on-one interviews
	Patients and Parent Caregivers	Rectally administered Drug/Device combination	Phase IV Patient Registry to measure pharmacy dispensing performance and patient understanding	Survey
Gastro-esophageal Reflux (GERD)	Patients	Orally administered Drug capsule	<b>Pre-Market Product Evaluation</b> for new oral GERD medication including swallow test	One-on-one interviews
Pain	Patients	Inhaled medication	Observational periapproval study on product usability and comprehension of patient package insert	One-on-one interviews



### **Compliance and Quality Assurance**



# **Compliance Statement**

- $\checkmark$  Ethics policy, training, and designated Ethics Officer
- ✓ Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and Part 11 compliance procedures and training program
- Privacy and Security compliance procedures and training program and designated
  Officer
  - 100% of staff NIH certified in human subjects research and privacy
- Data management safeguards

BioTrak

- Secure server Physical and IP security measures (i.e. intrusion detection, encryption security, locked restricted access room)
- Remote (offsite) backup and disaster recovery plan
- Offer SSH that is user name/password authenticated
- SSL on all data collecting websites

✓ In compliance with federal, state and local laws – no history of non-compliance

# Key BioTrak Assets



DecisionTool Optimizer



# **TreatmentSurvey.com**



### Contact to Learn More

We hope you found this summary useful. To learn more or to discuss your program needs, please contact:

#### Larry A. Risen

President BioTrak Research Inc. 760.448.4823

Email: <u>risen@biotrak.com</u> Web: <u>www.biotrak.com</u>

